IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 323 OF 2005

SMT. NEETA UMESH REDKAR AND ANR., ...Petitioners

Versus

CITY CORPORATION OF PANAJI THROUGH ITS COMMISSIONER, PANAJI GOA ....Respondent

Shri M.S. Sonak, Adv. for the Petitioners
Shri Vilas P. Thali, Advocate For Respondent.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA, J.

Date:- 7th October, 2005

P.C.:

Heard Mr. M.S. Sonak, learned counsel for the petitioner .

Shri V.P. Thali, learned counsel for the respondent Corporation submitted that final notice dated 1.10.2001 has been carried out and the unauthorised construction has been demolished. In this view of the matter,the learned counsel for the petitioners, after seeking instructions from the petitioner No.2 who is present in Court, prays for withdrawal of this Writ Petition with liberty to the petitioners to apply to the City Corporation of Panaji for fresh construction in accordance with law and the permission that may be granted.

The request of the learned counsel for the petitioners is fair and reasonable. The Writ Petition is allowed to be withdrawn. However, this will not preclude the petitioners from making appropriate application for fresh construction as per the building regulations to the City Corporation of Panaji.

R. M. LODHA, J.

sl.